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## 1 General Methods

Dry toluene, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ and pyridine were obtained from the solvent drying system MBraun MB-SPS-5-BenchTop under nitrogen atmosphere ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ content $<20 \mathrm{ppm}$ as determined by Karl-Fischer titration). $N, N$-Diisopropylamine ( $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ) was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and kept over activated molecular sieves ( $3 \AA$, $8-12$ mesh). Unless specified otherwise, all other solvents were used as commercially supplied. Flash chromatography was carried out using $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(60 \AA, 230-400$ mesh $)$ under positive pressure. Analytical thin-layer chromatography was carried out on aluminum-backed silica gel 60 F254 plates. Petroleum ether (PE) $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was used unless specified otherwise.

All UV-vis-NIR spectra were recorded in solution using a Perkin-Lambda 20 spectrometer ( 1 cm path length quartz cell). Chloroform (containing ca. $0.5 \%$ ethanol as stabilizer) or toluene was used for all titrations without any further purification (HPLC grade). Fluorescence lifetimes were obtained from time correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) using a single-photon avalanche diode detector with a time-resolution of $40 \mathrm{ps} .{ }^{[1]}$

Unless stated otherwise, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K using a Bruker AVIII HD 400, a Bruker AVII 500 or a Bruker AVIII 700 instrument. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are reported in ppm; coupling constants are given in Hertz, to the nearest 0.1 Hz . The solvent used was $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ which was calibrated to residual $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at 7.26 ppm. Diffusion coefficients were measured at 298 K in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ using a double stimulated echo sequence for convection compensation. The hydrodynamic radius was estimated from the diffusion coefficient using the Stoke-Einstein equation with a viscosity for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 298 K of $5.28 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{m}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

MALDI-ToF spectra were measured at the EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility (NMSF, Swansea) using the Applied Biosystems Voyager DE-STR or at the University of Oxford using a Waters Micro MX spectrometer utilizing dithranol or trans-2-[3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-2-methyl-2-propenylidene]malononitrile (DCTB) as a matrix.

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) was carried out using Bio-Rad Bio-Beads S-X1 ( $40-80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ bead size). Analytical GPC was carried out using JAIGEL-3H-A ( $8 \varphi \times 500$ ) and JAIGEL-4H-A ( $8 \varphi \times 500$ ) columns in THF $+1 \%$ pyridine as eluent with a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Semi-preparative GPC was carried out on a Shimadzu Recycling GPC system equipped with a LC-20 AD pump, SPD-20A UV detector and a set of JAIGEL 3H ( $20 \times 600$ $\mathrm{mm})$ and JAIGEL $4 \mathrm{H}(20 \times 600 \mathrm{~mm})$ columns in toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine as the eluent at a flow rate of $3.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Where indicated $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-deactivated silica was used, which was prepared by stirring a slurry of silica in $\mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / 3 \%$ $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight before removing the solvents under reduced pressure.

## 2 Compound Naming System

The compounds discussed in this manuscript are systematically labeled according to the following naming system:

Porphyrin monomers: R-P1-R
Linear Porphyrin oligomers: $\mathbf{R}-\boldsymbol{I}-\mathbf{P N}\left[\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}}\right]-\mathbf{R}$ in which
$I$ : denotes linear.
$\boldsymbol{N}$ : number of porphyrin units in the linear oligomer.
$\mathbf{b}_{\boldsymbol{x}}: x$ is the number of butadiyne [b] links between the porphyrin units in the linear oligomer.
$\mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{y}}: y$ is the number of ethyne [e] links between the porphyrin units in the linear oligomer.
$\mathbf{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{TMS}$ (denoting $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$-acetylene), CPDMS (denoting $\mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$-acetylene), CPDIPS (denoting $\mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{Si}$-acetylene), $\mathrm{HC}_{2}$ (denoting unprotected acetylene).

Cyclic porphyrin hexamers: $\quad \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}}\right] \quad$ in which
c: denotes cyclic.
$\mathbf{b}_{\boldsymbol{x}}: x$ is the number of butadiyne [b] links between the porphyrin units in the cyclic oligomer.
$\mathbf{e}_{y}: y$ is the number of ethyne [e] links between the porphyrin units in the cyclic oligomer.
The schematic representation of the nanorings (Figure S1), shows the porphyrin units as black spheres interconnected by either butadiyne (in black) or ethynyl linkages (in red).

Templates are labeled T6 and T6* having phenyl or acetylene links between the hexasubstituted central benzene moiety and the pyridine arms, respectively:


T6


T6*

Peak assignments in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ are labeled according to the following conventions:
a1 ${ }^{(\#)} / \mathbf{a 2}^{(\#)} \quad$ (belongs to EITHER a1 $1^{(\#)}$ or $\mathbf{a 2}^{(\#)}$ )
a1 ${ }^{(\#)}, \mathbf{2}^{(\#)} \quad$ (belongs to BOTH a1 ${ }^{(\#)}$ and $\mathbf{a 2}^{(\#)}$ )
a1-3 (belongs to a1, a2, a3, with and without \#)
Correlations in $2 \mathrm{D}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR are labeled according to the following conventions:
s: strong correlation
w: weak correlation
o: overlap


Figure S1: Chemical structures, compound labels and schematic representations of the compounds used in this study. Ar = 3,5-bis(trihexylsilyl)phenyl.

## 3 Synthetic Procedures

Monomers Br-P1-Br, ${ }^{[2]} \mathbf{B r}-\mathbf{P 1}-\mathbf{H},{ }^{[3]}$ CPDIPS-P1-H, ${ }^{[3]}$ and CPDIPS-P1-C $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{H},{ }^{[4]}$ templates $\mathbf{T 6},{ }^{[5]}$ and $\mathbf{T 6}$ * ${ }^{[3]}$ and porphyrin nanorings $\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6},{ }^{[6]}$ and $\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathrm{e}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}{ }^{*},{ }^{[3]}$ were prepared as reported previously.

### 3.1 Synthesis of $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ and $c-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5} \mathrm{e}\right]$



Scheme S1: Synthesis of $c-P 6\left[b_{5} e\right] \cdot T 6$. We also prepared CPDMS-I-P6[b $\left.\mathbf{b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]$-CPDMS by coupling $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-I-\mathrm{P} 2[\mathrm{e}]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ with excess $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}-I-\mathrm{P} 2[\mathrm{~b}]-$ CPDMS but we found that $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$ prepared by this more direct route was always contaminated by small amounts of $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$.

## Br-P1-CPDMS:


$\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Br}(1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Cul}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphenylphosphine $(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in a $250-\mathrm{mL}$ two-necked flask. Dry toluene ( 36 mL ) and $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(36 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and the mixture was deoxygenated by freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Cyanopropyldimethylsilylacetylene (97 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min after which TLC ( $\mathrm{PE}_{40}-$ ${ }_{60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 4: 1$ ) showed the desired statistical distribution of products. The volatiles were removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$; gradient of $\mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 100: 0$ to 1:1) affording the desired product $\mathbf{B r}-\mathbf{P 1}$-CPDMS ( $332 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ ) as a green solid. Furthermore, starting material $\mathbf{B r}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Br}(559 \mathrm{mg}$, $40 \%$ ) and the bis-acetylene substituted product CPDMS-P1-CPDMS ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$, for characterization see below) were obtained.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 9.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1 / \mathrm{a} 1^{\#}\right), 9.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1 / \mathrm{a} 1^{\#}\right), 8.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $\left.4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b1}{ }^{\#}\right), 8.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b} 1^{\#}\right), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.01(\mathrm{t}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}), 2.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.49-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 156 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THS}), 1.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.61$ (s, 6H, CPDMS-CH3) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 153.0,151.5,150.6,149.6,140.6,140.3,139.4$, $135.2,133.7,133.4,133.2,131.2,124.0,119.8,108.9,106.8,99.9,99.4,33.7,31.8,24.2,22.8,21.1,20.9,16.3$, 14.3, 13.0, 12.8, 12.6 ppm . MALDI-ToF m/z 1883.77 (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{112} \mathrm{H}_{182} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{Si}_{5} \mathrm{Zn}\right]^{+}$: 1884.17).

## CPDMS-P1-CPDMS:


$\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Br}(454 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cul}(9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, were placed in a Schlenk tube, and placed under argon atmosphere by three vacuum-argon refill cycles. Dry toluene ( 25 mL ), $i-$ $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine ( 0.6 mL ) were injected to the Schlenk tube. 3-Cyanopropyldimethylsilylacetylene $(151 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added by syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere for 2.5 h . The solvents were removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; gradient of $\mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 10: 1$ to $5: 1$ to $2: 1$ ) affording the desired product CPDMS-P1CPDMS (419 mg, 79\% yield) as an oily green solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 9.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1), 8.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 7.99(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}), 2.55\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.46-0.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $156 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THS}$ ), 1.19 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $0.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $152.2,150.8,140.5,140.2,139.4,135.2,133.3,131.1,124.3,119.8,108.9,101.0,99.7,77.4,77.2,76.9,33.7$, 31.8, 24.2, 22.8, 21.1, 20.9, 16.3, 14.3, 13.0, 12.8, 12.6, -1.3 ppm . MALDI-ToF m/z 1953.10 (calculated for [ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{194} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Si}_{6} \mathrm{Zn}\right]^{+}: 1953.33\right)$.

## $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ :


$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 140 mg , 1.0 mmol ) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{P} 1-$ CPDMS ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ), MeOH $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine ( 0.1 mL ). The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 30 min before the mixture was passed through a plug ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 2: 1+1 \%$ pyridine). The volatiles were removed in vacuo yielding $\mathbf{B r}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ( $90.3 \mathrm{mg} 100 \%$ ) as a green solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $\left.3,298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 9.75\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1,1^{\#}\right), 8.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b} 1^{\#}\right), 8.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b1}{ }^{\#}\right), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.02(\mathrm{t}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}), 4.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}), 1.51-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 156 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THS})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 153.2,151.5,150.7,149.5,140.7,140.3,139.4,135.2,133.7,133.4$, 133.2, 131.4, 123.9, 106.7, 99.2, 86.0, 83.9, 33.7, 31.8, 24.2, 22.8, 14.3, 12.8 ppm. MALDI-ToF m/z 1758.62 (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{171} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{Zn}\right]^{+}: 1759.11$ ).

## Br-P1-TMS:


$\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in a Schlenk flask under argon atmosphere. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ $(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and this mixture was stirred for 30 min . $\mathbf{B r}-\mathbf{P 1}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}(287 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h before trimethylsilyl chloride ( $41 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred overnight after which MALDI-ToF analysis confirmed completion. Saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added and the organic layer was extracted and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 15: 1\right)$ affording the desired product $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{TMS}(220 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$ as a green solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}+\mathbf{1 \%}$ pyridine- $\left._{5}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 9.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{a} 1^{\#}\right), 9.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, a1/a1 ${ }^{\#}$ ), $8.84\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1,1^{\#}\right), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}), 1.49-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 156 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THS}), 0.56$ (s, 9H, TMS) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+1 \%$ pyridine-d ${ }_{5}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 153.0,151.2,150.5,149.3,140.9$, $140.8,139.0,134.7,133.2,132.9,132.6,131.0,123.4,106.2,100.5,99.3,95.6,33.7,31.7,24.2,22.8,14.3,12.8$, 0.44 ppm. MALDI-ToF $m / \mathbf{z} 1831.08$ (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{109} \mathrm{H}_{179} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{5} \mathrm{Zn}\right]^{+}: 1831.15$ ).

## $\mathrm{HC}_{2}$-P1-CPDMS:



CPDMS-P1-CPDMS ( $980 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(130 \mathrm{~mL}$, with 1.3 mL EtOH$)$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TBAF ( 1.0 M in THF, $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was monitored by $\operatorname{TLC}\left(\mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 4: 1\right)$. After 20 min , the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After 50 min total reaction time, the reaction was quenched by adding acetic acid ( $0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and passed through a short plug $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+1 \%\right.$ pyridine). Solvents were removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; gradient of $\mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 4: 1$ to $1: 1$ ) to afford the bis-deprotected product ${ }^{[6]}$ ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ) as a green solid, mono-deprotected product $\mathbf{H C}_{2}$-P1-CPDMS ( $417 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ) as a green solid, and starting material CPDMS-P1CPDMS (200 mg, 20\%) as a green solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 9.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1 / \mathrm{a} 1^{\#}\right), 9.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{a} 1^{\#}\right), 8.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $\left.4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1,1^{\#}\right), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~J}=1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}), 2.55\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.13(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H, CPDMS-CH 2 ), 1.49-0.90 (m, 156H, THS), 1.18 (m, 2H, CPDMS-CH2), $0.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 152.3,152.1,150.7,150.6,140.9,140.7,139.1,136.0,134.8,133.0,132.9,130.9$, $130.7,123.9,122.5,119.9,110.0,100.0,99.5,98.6,86.9,83.3,33.7,31.8,24.2,22.8,21.1,20.9,16.4,14.3$, 12.8, -1.27 ppm. MALDI-ToF m/z 1829.08 (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{114} \mathrm{H}_{183} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{Si}_{5} \mathrm{Zn}\right]^{+}: 1828.26$ ).

## TMS-I-P2[e]-CPDMS:



Br-P1-TMS (130 mg, $71.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{HC}_{2}$-P1-CPDMS (140 mg, $76.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(14.6 \mathrm{mg}, 14.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, AsPh $_{3}$ $(17.3 \mathrm{mg}, 56.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were added into a flask, and placed under argon atmosphere by three vacuum-argon refill cycles. Dry THF ( 5 mL ) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were injected to the flask. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h under argon atmosphere. The solvents were removed and the residue was purified by SEC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) and further purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$; gradient of $\mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 10: 1$ to 10:3) to afford TMS-I-P2[e]-CPDMS (163 mg, 64\%) as a green solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.35$ (d, J=4.5 Hz, 2H, a1/a2), 10.33 (d, J=4.5 Hz, 2H, a1/a2), 9.71 (d, J= $\left.4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a1}{ }^{\#} / \mathrm{a}^{\#}\right), 9.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1^{\#} / \mathrm{a} 2^{\#}\right), 9.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b} 1^{\#} / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{b} 2^{\#}\right), 9.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b} 1^{\#} / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{b} 2^{\#}\right), 8.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b} 1^{\#} / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{b} 2^{\#}\right), 8.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b} 1^{\#} / \mathrm{b} 2 / \mathrm{b} 2^{\#}\right), 8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, o), 8.01 ( m, 4H, p), 2.58 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, CPDMS-CH2), 2.15 (m, 2H, CPDMS-CH $)_{2}$, 1.50-0.82 (m, 312H, THS), $1.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.60(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TMS}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $152.6,152.6,152.5,152.4,150.6,150.6,150.6,150.5,144.6,141.0,140.9,140.7,140.6,136.0,134.8,134.7$, $133.0,132.9,130.9,130.8,130.7,130.5,129.2,128.4,124.3,124.2,122.7,122.2,119.9,110.1,108.6,103.2$, $102.7,100.9,100.6,100.5,99.7,98.5,53.6,41.5,33.7,33.7,31.8,31.7,29.2,24.2,22.8,21.1,20.9,20.6,19.6$, 16.4, 14.3, 13.0, 12.8, 12.6, 11.6, 0.5, -1.2 ppm. MALDI-ToF $m / z 3579.58$ (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{223} \mathrm{H}_{361} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{Si}_{10} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}\right]^{+}$: 3579.48). UV-vis-NIR (toluene + 1\% pyridine) $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\max }(\log \varepsilon): 754$ (4.92), 580 (4.28), 495 (5.51), 430 (5.28).

## $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 2[\mathrm{e}]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}:$



TMS-I-P2[e]-CPDMS ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 30.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine ( 0.1 mL ). TBAF ( 1.0 m in THF, $0.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred for 20 min at room temperature before passing through a plug ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}+1 \%$ pyridine). The volatiles were removed in vacuo to afford $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 2[\mathrm{e}]-$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}(97 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%)$ as a green solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1), 9.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1^{\#}\right), 8.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b} 1^{\#}\right), 8.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b1} 1^{\#}\right), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}), 4.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}), 1.49-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 312 \mathrm{H}$, THS) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 152.6,150.7,150.6,145.5,141.1,140.7,139.1,136.0,134.8$, $133.05,132.97,130.8,130.7,124.1,122.9,102.9,100.7,98.9,87.1,83.2,33.7,31.8,24.2,22.8,14.3,12.8 \mathrm{ppm}$. MALDI-ToF $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 3378.77$ (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{214} \mathrm{H}_{342} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{Si}_{8} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}\right]^{\dagger}: 3382.38$ ).

## CPDMS-I-P4[ $\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ ]-CPDMS:


$\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-P 2[e]-\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}(96 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathbf{H C}_{2}$-P1-CPDMS ( $417 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry toluene ( 25 $\mathrm{mL}) . \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 2(10 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{Cul}(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 1,4 -benzoquinone ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in a mixture of dry toluene ( 25 mL ) and dry $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and this catalyst solution was added to the porphyrin solution. The reaction was stirred at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After 1 h , the mixture was passed through a plug $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}+1 \%\right.$ pyridine). The solvents were removed and the residue was purified by SEC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) and further purified by recycling GPC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) to afford CPDMS-IP4[ $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ e]-CPDMS ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ) as a yellow-brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1)$, 9.89 (overlapping doublets, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=4.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1^{\#}, 2\right), 9.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 2^{\#}\right), 9.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1), 8.96$ (overlapping doublets, $\mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $\left.4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b1} 1^{\#}, 2\right), 8.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 2^{\#}\right), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}_{-\mathrm{CH}_{2}}\right.$ ), 1.52-0.83 (m, 624H), $1.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.62(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, CPDMS-CH ${ }_{3}$ ) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{CNMR}}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 153.4,153.1,152.7,152.2,150.8,150.8,150.5,150.5$, $143.8,140.9,140.7,139.3,136.1,135.0,134.9,133.4,133.3,133.1,133.0,130.9,130.8,124.5,122.6,119.9$, 109.9, 103.5, 101.1, 100.2, 99.5, 98.9, 88.5, 88.2, 82.7, 82.5, 33.7, 31.8, 24.2, 22.8, 21.1, 20.9, 16.4, 14.4, 14.3, 12.8, -1.3 ppm. MALDI-ToF m/z 7037.81 (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{442} \mathrm{H}_{704} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{Si}_{18} \mathrm{Zn}_{4}\right]^{+}: 7036.87$ ). UV-vis-NIR (toluene + 1\% pyridine) $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon): 816$ (5.28), 659 (4.75), 483 (5.53), 460 (5.61).

## $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 4\left[\mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}:$



CPDMS-I-P4[ $\left.\mathbf{b}_{2} \mathbf{e}\right]$-CPDMS ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine ( 0.05 mL ). TBAF ( 1.0 M in THF, $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred for 20 min at room temperature before the mixture was passed through a plug $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}+1 \%\right.$ pyridine). The solvents were removed to afford $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-$ $\mathbf{P 4}\left[\mathbf{b}_{2} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}(84 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%)$ as a brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1), 9.91$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1^{\#} / \mathrm{a} 2$ ), 9.89 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1^{\#} / \mathrm{a} 2\right), 9.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 2^{\#}\right), 9.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1), 8.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1^{\#} / \mathrm{b} 2\right), 8.95(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b1} 1^{\#} / \mathrm{b} 2\right), 8.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 2^{\#}\right), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c})$, $1.54-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 624 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THS})$ ppm. MALDI-ToF $\mathrm{m} / \mathbf{z} 6785.39$ (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{430} \mathrm{H}_{682} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{Si}_{16} \mathrm{Zn}_{4}\right]^{\dagger}: 6786.74$ ).

CPDMS-I-P6[ $\left.b_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{CPDMS}:$

$\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-P 4\left[\mathbf{b}_{2} \mathbf{e}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H} \cdot(60 \mathrm{mg}, 7.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-$ P1-CPDMS ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in dry toluene ( 15 $\mathrm{mL}) . \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8.4 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $\mathrm{Cul}(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 1,4-benzoquinone ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in a mixture of dry toluene ( 15 mL ) and dry $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The porphyrin solution was added to the catalyst solution. The mixture was stirred at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After 3 h , the mixture was filtered through a plug $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}+1 \%\right.$ pyridine). The solvents were removed and the residue was purified by SEC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) and further purified by recycling GPC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) to afford CPDMS-I-P6[be ${ }_{4}$ ]-CPDMS ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) as a brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.38$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1$ ), $9.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a1}{ }^{\#}, 2,2^{\#}, 3\right), 9.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a3}{ }^{\#}\right), 9.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b1}), 9.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b1}{ }^{\#}, 2,2^{\#}, 3\right), 8.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 3^{\#}\right), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.37$ $(\mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}), 2.58\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.52-$ 0.83 ( $\mathrm{m}, 936 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THS}$ ), $1.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDMS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298$ K): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 153.5,13.2,152.8,152.3,151.0,150.8,150.7,143.6,140.9,140.7,140.3,139.5,135.4,135.3,133.6$, 133.3, 131.2, 125.2, 124.7, 122.6, 119.8, 101.4, 100.9, 96.3, 87.6, 82.7, 33.7, 31.8, 24.2, 22.9, 21.1, 20.9, 16.3, 14.4, 14.3, 12.8, -1.3 ppm. MALDI-ToF $m / \mathbf{z} 10441$ (calculated for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{658} \mathrm{H}_{1044} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{Si}_{26} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}: 10441$ ). UV-vis-NIR (toluene +1\% pyridine) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon): 832(5.53), 593(4.72), 493$ (5.76), 464 (5.81).

## $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}:$



CPDMS-I-P6[ $\left.\mathbf{b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]$-CPDMS ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine ( 0.05 mL ). TBAF ( 1.0 M in THF, $26 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 26 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min at room temperature before it was passed through a plug ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 3: 1+1 \%$ pyridine). The solvents were removed in vacuo to afford $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-P 6\left[\mathbf{b}_{4} \mathbf{e}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%)$ as a brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.34$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1$ ), $9.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a1}{ }^{\#}, 22^{\#}{ }^{\#}, 3\right), 9.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a3}{ }^{\#}\right), 9.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b1}), 8.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1^{\#}, 2,2^{\#}, 3\right), 8.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 3^{\#}\right), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.32$ $(\mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}), 1.53-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 936 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. MALDI-ToF m/z 10191.76 (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{646} \mathrm{H}_{1022} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{Si}_{24} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}: 10191.10$ )

## $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ :


$\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}(13 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$. T6 ( $2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 2.6$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added to the porphyrin hexamer solution under inert atmosphere. Complex formation was confirmed by UV-vis-NIR spectroscopy. A catalyst mixture of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ mmol ), Cul ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,4-benzoquinone ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added as solids and the reaction progress was monitored by UV-vis-NIR spectroscopy. After 4 h , the mixture was passed through a plug $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$; $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}+1 \%$ pyridine). The solvents were removed and the residue was purified by SEC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) and further purified by recycling GPC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) to afford $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot T 6(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%)$ as a redbrown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1), 9.43(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 2-3), 9.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{al}^{\#}$ ), $8.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1), 8.67(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 2-3), 8.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1^{\#}\right), 8.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}^{\prime} 2,3\right), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{o}^{\prime} 1$ ), 7.96 (s, 4H, p1/p2/p3), 7.95 (shoulder, 4H, o1), 7.94 (s, 8H, p1/p2/p3), 7.91 (br s, 8H, o2,3), 5.50 (d, J = 8.7 $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \delta 3), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \delta 2), 5.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \gamma 3), 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \gamma 2), 5.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \delta 1), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \gamma 1), 4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \beta 2,3), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \beta 1), 2.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \alpha 1)$, $1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \alpha 2,3), 1.47-0.72(\mathrm{~m}, 936 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THS}) \mathrm{ppm}$. MALDI-ToF $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 11184$ (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{718} \mathrm{H}_{1068} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{Si}_{24} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}$: 11186). UV-vis-NIR (toluene) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon): 967$ (4.88), 874 (5.78), 831 (5.83), 790 (5.69), 505 (6.04), 441 (5.77).
c-P6[bse]:


A solution of freshly recrystallized DABCO in toluene ( $260 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was prepared. A SEC column (toluene) was eluted with DABCO solution ( 20 mL ) such that the top of the column was saturated with DABCO. $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ $(4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in DABCO solution ( 0.5 mL ) and loaded onto the SEC column. The column was eluted with DABCO solution ( 8 mL ) and subsequently with toluene. The collected material was diluted to 40 mL in toluene and washed with water ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The toluene fraction was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The material was purified on a short plug ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 4: 1+1 \%$ pyridine). This procedure was repeated twice to ensure complete template removal from the nanoring to yield $c$ - P6[b $\left.\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right](3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) as a brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathbf{1 \%}$ pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1), 9.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1^{\#}, \mathrm{a} 2-3\right), 8.74$ (d, J = $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1$ ), $8.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b1} 1^{\#}, \mathrm{~b} 2-3\right), 8.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o} 1-3, \mathrm{o}^{\prime} 1-3\right), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p} 1-3), 1.47-0.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $936 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THS}$ ) ppm. MALDI-ToF $m / z 10192.86$ (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{646} \mathrm{H}_{1020} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{Si}_{24} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}: 10189.09$ ). UV-vis-NIR (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon): 803$ (5.62), 610 (4.68), 502 (5.93), 442 (5.73).

### 3.2 Synthesis of $c$-P6[be $\left.{ }_{5}\right] \cdot$ T6* and $c-P 6\left[b \mathrm{be}_{5}\right]$


$\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Br}$ :


A solution of NBS ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(76 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of porphyrin H-P1-H (1.5 g, $0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 14 mL ) and dry $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under inert atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-41^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h before acetone ( 10 mL ) was added to quench the excess of NBS. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, and passed through a short plug ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 5: 1$ ). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give $\mathbf{B r}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Br}(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a red oil.

Characterization data matched those previously reported. ${ }^{[2]}$

## CPDIPS-P1-CPDIPS:



Porphyrin $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Br}$ ( $0.83 \mathrm{~g}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was placed in an argon flushed Schlenk flask. Dry toluene ( 7 mL ) and dry i- $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. 3-Cyanopropyldiisopropylsilylacetylene ( $0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The solution was freeze-pump-thaw degassed (3 cycles). While frozen, catalysts $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.093$ mmol ) and $\mathrm{Cul}(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added under a stream of argon, before performing three additional freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The solution was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h before cooling to room temperature and removing the solvents under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to a plug ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; gradient of $\mathrm{PE}_{40}$ ${ }_{60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 5: 1$ to 1:1) giving target porphyrin CPDIPS-P1-CPDIPS ( $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) as a green-purple oily solid.

Characterization data matched those previously reported. ${ }^{[4]}$
$\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{H}:$


To a solution of CPDIPS-P1-H ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ was progressively added TBAF ( 1.0 m in THF, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under inert atmosphere over 15 min at room temperature until full deprotection was indicated by TLC. The reaction mixture was directly passed through a short plug ( $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-deactivated $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) and the solvents removed under reduced pressure. Purification by SEC (toluene) yielded $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-\mathbf{P 1}-\mathbf{H}(1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ as a dark green oily solid.

Characterization data matched those previously reported. ${ }^{[3]}$

## H-I-P3[ $\left.\mathrm{e}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{H}:$



To a dried, argon flushed Schlenk-tube was added $\mathbf{B r}-\mathbf{P} 1-\mathrm{Br}(0.28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-\mathbf{P 1}-\mathbf{H}(0.65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38$ mmol ) together with dry $\mathrm{THF}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ before performing two consecutive freeze-pumpthaw cycles. While frozen, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(13.5 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}(69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added under a stream of argon, before performing three additional freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The solution was heated to 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 d before cooling to room temperature and removing the solvents under reduced pressure. A short plug ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 5: 1$ ) followed by SEC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) yielded $\mathrm{H}-I-\mathrm{P} 3\left[\mathrm{e}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{H}(580 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$ as a dark brown oily solid.

Characterization data matched those previously reported. ${ }^{[3]}$

## $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 3\left[\mathrm{e}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{Br}$ :


$\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 3\left[\mathrm{e}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{H}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was placed in a round-bottom flask. Argon-degassed dry ethanol-stabilized $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dry pyridine ( 1.5 mL ) were added before cooling to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In a second flask, a solution of NBS ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in argon-degassed dry ethanol-stabilized $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was prepared and subsequently added to the first solution over 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stirring was continued for an additional 10 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to warm to $-41^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dry ice/MeCN bath, and stirred for 1 h . Finally, the reaction mixture was placed in an ice bath at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min . The course of the reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of reaction aliquots. The reaction mixture was subjected directly to a short plug ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 5: 1$ ) yielding $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 3\left[\mathrm{e}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{Br}(78 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%)$ as a dark brown oily solid.

Characterization data matched those previously reported. ${ }^{[3]}$

## CPDIPS-I-P5[ $\mathrm{e}_{4}$ ]-CPDIPS:



To a dried, argon flushed Schlenk-tube was added $\mathbf{B r}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 3\left[\mathrm{e}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{Br}(68 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathbf{H C}_{2}$-P1-CPDIPS ( 100 mg , $53 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ together with dry THF ( 3.5 mL ) and dry $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ before performing two consecutive freeze-pump-thaw cycles. While frozen, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}(6.0 \mathrm{mg}, 19.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were added under a stream of argon, before performing three additional freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The solution was heated to 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 d before cooling to room temperature and removing the solvents under reduced pressure. A short plug ( $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-deactivated $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; gradient of $\mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 50: 1$ to $5: 1$ ), and subsequent short SEC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) and recycling GPC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) yielded CPDIPS-I-P5[e ${ }_{4}$ ]-CPDIPS ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ) as a dark brown oily solid.

Characterization data matched those previously reported. ${ }^{[3]}$

## $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-$ I-P5 $\left[\mathrm{e}_{4}\right]$-CPDIPS:



To a solution of CPDIPS-I-P5[ $\mathrm{e}_{4}$ ]-CPDIPS ( $0.151 \mathrm{~g}, 17.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(9 \mathrm{~mL})$, dry ethanol-stabilized $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ $(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry pyridine ( 0.1 mL ) was progressively added TBAF ( 1.0 M in THF, $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under inert atmosphere over 15 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The course of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was subjected directly to a short plug ( $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-deactivated $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to quench the excess of TBAF and purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-deactivated $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; gradient: $\mathrm{PE}_{40-60}, \mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 40: 1$ to $10: 1$ ) yielding $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-$-l-P5 [e ${ }_{4}$ ]-CPDIPS ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ) as a dark brown oily solid.

Characterization data matched those previously reported. ${ }^{[3]}$

## $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]$-CPDIPS:



To a dried, argon flushed Schlenk-tube was added $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-P 5\left[\mathrm{e}_{4}\right]-$ CPDIPS ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 11.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Br}(102$ $\mathrm{mg}, 56.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ together with dry THF ( 3.5 mL ) and dry $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) before performing two consecutive freeze-pump-thaw cycles. While frozen, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were added under a stream of argon, before performing three additional freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The solution was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 d before cooling to room temperature and removing the solvents under reduced pressure. A short plug ( $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-deactivated $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ), and subsequent short SEC (toluene) and recycling GPC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) yielded $\mathbf{B r}-$ I-P6[ $\mathrm{e}_{5}$ ]-CPDIPS ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) as a dark brown oily solid.

Characterization data matched those previously reported. ${ }^{[3]}$

CPDIPS-I-P6[ $\mathrm{e}_{5}$ ]-CPDIPS:


To a dried, argon flushed Schlenk-tube was added Br-I-P6[ $\mathrm{e}_{5}$ ]-CPDIPS ( $13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and acetyleneCPDIPS ( $1.30 \mathrm{mg}, 6.29 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) together with dry toluene ( 2 mL ) and dry $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ before performing three consecutive freeze-pump-thaw cycles. While frozen, $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(177 \mu \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and $\mathrm{Cul}(30 \mu \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were added under a stream of argon, before performing three additional freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The solution was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h before cooling to room temperature and removing the solvents under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to a plug ( $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-deactivated $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 1: 1$ ) giving target CPDIPS-I-P6[ $\mathrm{e}_{5}$ ]-CPDIPS ( $12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) which was directly used in the next step.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{H} 10.36-10.31(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1-2, \mathrm{a} 3), 9.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a3}{ }^{\text {\# }}\right.$ ), $9.03-8.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1-2, \mathrm{~b} 3), 8.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 3^{\mathrm{H}}\right), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.02-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p})$, 2.57 (t, J = $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, CPDIPS-CH 2 ), $2.26-2.18$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CPDIPS}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 1.54-0.70 (m, 968H, THS, CPDIPS) ppm. MALDI-ToF $\mathbf{m} / \mathrm{z} 10453$ (calculated for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{658} \mathrm{H}_{1060} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{Si}_{26} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}$: 10458). UV-vis-NIR (toluene +1\% pyridine) $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {max }}$ ( $\log \varepsilon$ ): 873 (4.88), 498 (5.15), 440 (5.01).
$\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-P 6\left[\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}:$


To a solution of CPDIPS-I-P6[ $\mathrm{e}_{5}$ ]-CPDIPS ( $12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dry pyridine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was progressively added TBAF ( 1.0 m in THF, $7.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 7.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) at room temperature and stirred for 15 min . The course of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was subjected directly to a short plug ( $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}-$ deactivated $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) yielding $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%)$ as a dark brown meta-solid. Due to the tendency to homo-couple in the presence of oxygen, the target is best directly subjected to cyclization.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.37-10.33(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1-2, \mathrm{a} 3), 9.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a3}{ }^{\text {\# }}\right.$ ), $9.04-9.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1-2, \mathrm{~b} 3), 8.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{H}}\right), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}), 8.03-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p})$, 4.18 (s, 2H, c), 1.56-0.71 (m, 936H, THS) ppm.




Scheme S3: Synthetic overview of $c-P 6\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right]$.

## c-P6[bes $] \cdot T 6 *:$



To a dried, argon flushed Schlenk-tube was added $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-P 6\left[\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}(12.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and T6* ( $2.44 \mathrm{mg}, 3.57$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ together with dry, ethanol-stabilized $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ before degassing by a stream of argon and stirring for 15 min at room temperature. Under counter-flow, 1,4-benzoquinone ( 10 mg , $92 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{Cul}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were added and degassing continued for 5 min . The solution stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h before removing the solvents under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to a short plug ( $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-deactivated $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 1: 1$ ), and subsequent short SEC (toluene) and recycling GPC (toluene $+1 \%$ pyridine) giving target ring $c-P 6\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ * ( $3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ) as a brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 9.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1^{\#}\right), 9.90(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 2-3), 9.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1), 8.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1^{\#}\right), 8.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 2-3), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1), 8.06(\mathrm{~s}$, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}^{\prime} 2,3$ ), 8.02 (s, 4H, o’1), 7.92-7.90 (m, 20H, o1-3, p2,3), $7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p} 1), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \beta 1), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \beta 2,3), 2.00(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \alpha 2,3), 1.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \alpha 1), 1.58-0.46(\mathrm{~m}, 936 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THS}) \mathrm{ppm}$. MALDI-ToF $\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{z} 10774$ (calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{686} \mathrm{H}_{1044} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{Si}_{24} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{\dagger}$ : 10778). UV-vis-NIR (toluene $\mathbf{+ 1 \%}$ pyridine) $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\text {max }}$ ( $\log \varepsilon$ ): 436 (5.39), 500 (5.74), 853 (5.45), 899 (5.40), 955 (5.15).
c-P6[bes]:


To remove the template, $\boldsymbol{c}$-P6[bes].T6* ( $3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in pyridine/toluene (100:1) and subjected to repeated SEC (pre-saturated with pyridine/toluene 100:1, $4 \times$ ) and another plug ( $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-deactivated $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{PE}_{40-60} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 1: 1$ ) yielding desired template-free $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathrm{P} 6\left[b \mathrm{E}_{5}\right](2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%)$ as a brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 9.94-9.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1^{\#}, \mathrm{a} 2-3\right), 9.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{a} 1), 8.71-8.69(\mathrm{~m}$, $20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b1}{ }^{\#}, \mathrm{~b} 2-3$ ), 8.62 (d, J = $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} 1$ ), 8.02 (br s, 24H, o), 7.91-7.90 (m, 12H, p), 1.48-0.38 (m, 936H, THS) ppm. MALDI-ToF m/z 10097 (calculated for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{638} \mathrm{H}_{1020} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{Si}_{24} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}: 10094$ ). UV-vis-NIR (toluene +1\% pyridine) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon): 428$ (5.45), 494 (5.81), 780 (5.36).

## $4{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ Assignment of $c-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6, c-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5} \mathrm{e}\right], c-\mathrm{P} 6[\mathrm{be} 5] \cdot \mathrm{T6*}$ and $c-$ P6[bes]

In the following section, the full assignments of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra of the nanorings $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathbf{e}\right.$ ] and $c$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right]$, with and without the template $\mathbf{T 6}\left({ }^{*}\right)$, are described. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K using a Bruker AVIII 700 instrument with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as the solvent. The 2D-NMR techniques COSY and NOESY were used to achieve full assignment of the signals. COSY correlations are indicated in blue, NOESY correlations are indicated in red. The assignment of the nanostructure will be discussed systematically.

### 4.1 Assignment of $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$




## Assignment of Porphyrin 1

We can assign the 4 H -doublet at 10.02 ppm with confidence to proton a1, on the basis of its unusual chemical shift; this enables us to assign b1 through a COSY correlation (Figure S2). The other distinct COSY correlation in this region between two 4 H -doublets is assigned to $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{1}^{\#}$ and $\mathbf{b 1} \mathbf{1}^{\#}$ (supported by NOE correlations as discussed below).


Figure S2: Region of the COSY correlation spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c} \mathbf{- P 6 [ \mathbf { b } _ { 5 } \mathbf { e } ] \cdot \mathbf { T 6 } \text { , indicating the COSY correlation between }}$ proton a1 and proton b1 and proton a1\# and b1\#.

NOESY correlations from proton a1 to o1, o'1, $\boldsymbol{\alpha 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta 1}$ (Figures S3 and S4) enable the assignment of these protons. Protons $\boldsymbol{\alpha 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta 1}$ exhibit a COSY correlation (not shown), confirming their assignment.


Figure S3: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$, indicating the NOEs between proton a1 and protons 01 and o'1.


Figure S4: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$, indicating the NOEs between proton a1 and protons $\alpha 1$ and $\beta 1$.

NOE cross-peaks correlating $\mathbf{0 1 / o \mathbf { 1 } ^ { \prime }}$ and $\mathbf{a 1 ^ { \# }}$ and $\mathbf{b 1}^{\#}$ confirm their assignment (Figure S5). NOEs between $\mathbf{o 1 / o ’} \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{p 1}$ could not be distinguished due to the overlap between o1 and $\mathbf{p 1}$.


Figure S5: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$, indicating the NOEs between protons $\mathbf{0 1 / 0} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{1}$ and protons a1, a1 ${ }^{\#}$, b1 and b1 ${ }^{\#}$.

Finally, NOEs from $\alpha \mathbf{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta 1}$ to $\boldsymbol{\gamma 1}$ and $\delta \mathbf{1}$ (Figure S6) (and a COSY correlation from $\boldsymbol{\gamma 1}$ to $\boldsymbol{\delta 1}$; not shown), complete the assignment of porphyrin 1.


Figure S6: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$, indicating the NOEs between protons $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta 1}$ and protons $\gamma \mathbf{1}$ and $\delta \mathbf{1}$.

## Assignment of Porphyrins 2 and 3

The assignment of porphyrins 2 and 3 follows from the assignment of porphyrin 1 using the COSY correlations and NOEs from the template. There are NOEs from $\boldsymbol{\delta 1}$ to $\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{2}$ (Figure S7) enabling the identification of $\boldsymbol{\delta 2}$, which has NOEs to $\boldsymbol{\beta 2 , 3}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha 2 , 3}$ (both the $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ signals for porphyrins 2 and 3 overlap at 1.95 ppm and 4.81 ppm , respectively).


Figure S7: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $c-P 6\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$, indicating the NOEs between protons $\boldsymbol{\delta 1}$ and $\delta \mathbf{2}$ and subsequently protons $\delta \mathbf{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta 2 , 3}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha 2 , 3}$.

Proton $\boldsymbol{\delta 2}$ has a $\operatorname{COSY}$ correlation to $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{2}$ at 5.33 ppm (Figure S8). There is another pair of template protons displaying a COSY correlation which is assigned to $\boldsymbol{\delta 3}(5.50 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\mathbf{\gamma 3}(5.35 \mathrm{ppm})$. This assignment is further confirmed by NOEs from $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{3}$ to the overlapping signal $\boldsymbol{\beta 2 , 3}$ (Figure S7).


Figure S8: Region of the COSY correlation spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$, indicating the COSY correlation between proton $\mathbf{\delta 3}$ and proton $\mathbf{\gamma 3}$ and proton $\mathbf{\delta 2}$ and $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{2}$.

The signal at 8.31 ppm can be assigned to $\mathrm{o}^{\prime} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathrm{o}^{\prime} \mathbf{3}$ as there is a strong NOE between the signal at 8.31 ppm and the $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{2 , 3}$ signal at 1.95 ppm and a very weak NOE between the signal at 8.31 ppm and the $\boldsymbol{\beta 2 , 3}$ signal at 4.81 ppm (Figure S9).


Figure S9: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{T 6}\right.$, indicating the NOEs between protons $\mathbf{o}^{\prime} \mathbf{2 , 3}$ and $\mathbf{\alpha 2 , 3}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta 2 , 3}$ and NOEs between protons $\boldsymbol{\alpha 2 , 3}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta 2 , 3}$ to $\mathbf{a 2} \mathbf{- 3}$ and b2-3.

The NOE between the signal at 8.31 ppm and the signal at 9.43 ppm identifies the latter as a2-3 which itself displays a NOE to the signal at 7.91, identifying this signal as 02,3 (Figure S10). This also enables the assignment of the multiplet at 8.67 as $\mathbf{b 2} \mathbf{- 3}$ as this signal shows strong NOEs to $\boldsymbol{\alpha 2 , 3}$, weak NOEs to $\boldsymbol{\beta 2 , 3}$ (Figure S9), strong NOEs to a2-3, and strong NOEs to 02,3 and o'2,3 (Figure S10).


Figure S10: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathrm{b}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$, indicating the NOEs between protons $\mathbf{o}^{\prime} \mathbf{2 , 3}$ and a2-3 which subsequently shows NOEs to 02,3, and indicating the NOEs between b2-3 to a2-3, o2,3 and ó2,3.

The remaining signals at 7.96 ppm and 7.94 ppm are assigned to $\mathrm{p} 1-3$. Since these signals don't display any NOE or COSY correlations, a specific assignment is not possible.

Table S1: Correlation matrix depicting the COSY and NOE correlations in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ (labels; $\mathbf{s}$ : strong correlation, $\mathbf{w}$ : weak correlation, $\mathbf{o}$ : overlapping signals).

|  |  | beta 1 |  |  |  |  |  | aryl 1 |  |  | template 1 |  |  |  | THS 1 |  | beta 2 |  |  |  |  | aryl 2 |  | template 2 |  |  |  | THS 2 |  | beta 3 |  |  |  | aryl 3 |  |  | template 3 |  |  |  | THS 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | a |  | a\# | b | b\# | \# | - | $\mathrm{o}^{\prime}$ | p | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ | $\delta$ | T | T' | a | a\# | b | b\# | \# | ${ }^{\prime}$ | p | ${ }^{\alpha}$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ | $\delta$ | T | T' | a | a\# | b | b\# | o | ${ }^{\circ}$ | p | $\alpha$ | ] |  | $\delta$ | T |  |
| \% | a |  |  | - | s | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a\# | - | - |  |  | s | S |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | b | s | 5 | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | b\# | - | - | s | - |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | COSY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 节 | 0 | w | w | w | s | s | s |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{o}^{\prime}$ | S | S | w | S | s | s | s |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | p | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 寿 | ${ }^{\alpha}$ | s | s | s | s | s | 5 |  | s |  |  | s | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\beta$ | w | w | w | - | w | w |  | w | - | s |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\gamma$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | s | s |  | s | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\delta$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | w | s | s |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{x}} \\ & \stackrel{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}} \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | T | s | 5 | s | S | s | 50 | o | o | o | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | s | 5 | s | s | s | 50 | o | o | o | - | - | - | - | o |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ \cline { 1 - 2 } \\ \cline { 1 - 2 } \end{array}\right\|$ | a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | o |  | - | o | o | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | o | - |  | O | o | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | o | o | o |  | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | b\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | o | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | o | o | o | o | o |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | o | o | - | o | o | 0 |  |  |  | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | p |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\alpha}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | O | o | - | 0 | 0 | - |  | S | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\beta$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | o | o | o | - | o | - | s |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\gamma$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  | w | s |  | s | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\delta$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | w | s |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  | w | S | S |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | o | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | o | o | 0 | 0 | 0 | o |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | o |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|r | a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | O | o | 0 | 0 |  | o | 0 |  |  | o | o |  | - | 0 | 0 | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | a\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | - |  |  | o | o | $-$ |  | O | - | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | b |  |  |  |  |  | NOESY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | o | o | o | 0 |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | b\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | o | O |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | o |  |  | o | 0 | 0 | o | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | o | - | o | o |  | o |  | o | - |  |  | o | o | o | - | 0 | o |  | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | $0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | - | o | $\bigcirc$ | O | o | 0 | 0 |  | O | o |  |  | 0 | - | O | - | 0 | - | - |  |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | p |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | o |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | o | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | $\alpha$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | 0 | O | o | 0 | 0 |  |  | o | o | o |  |  | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | s |  |  | S |  |  | - |  |
|  | $\beta$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 0 | O | 0 |  | o |  | O |  | - | o |  |  | - | - | O | o | - | w |  | s |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | $\gamma$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | o |  | 0 |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | w | s |  | S | - |  |
|  | $\delta$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | o | o |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | w | s |  |  | - |  |
|  |  | o |  | o | 0 | o | - | o | o | o |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | o | o | o | 0 | 0 | o |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | o | 0 | o | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | o | 0 | O | $\bigcirc$ | o | o | o |  |  |  |  |  |  | o | 0 | O | o | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | o | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | o | - |  |  | - |  |  |

### 4.2 Assignment of $c-P 6\left[b_{5} e\right]$



## Assignment of Porphyrins 1, 2 and 3

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right.$ ] has more overlapping signals than its template-complex counterpart $\boldsymbol{c}$ P6[b5 $\mathbf{5}] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$ and hence most of the individual signals cannot be assigned. We can assign the 4 H -doublet at 10.01 ppm with confidence to proton a1; this enables us to assign b1 through a COSY correlation (Figure S11). There is only one other distinct COSY correlation in this region between two 20 H -multiplets which are overlapping signals for $\mathbf{a 1}{ }^{\#}, \mathbf{a 2 - 3}$ at 9.52 ppm and $\mathbf{b 1} \mathbf{1}^{\#}, \mathbf{b 2} \mathbf{- 3}$ at 8.67 ppm .


Figure S11: Region of the COSY correlation spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+1 \%$ pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{e}\right]$, indicating the COSY correlation between proton a1 and b1 and protons $\mathbf{a 1} \mathbf{1}^{\#}, \mathrm{a} 2-3$ and $\mathbf{b 1} \mathbf{1}^{\boldsymbol{\#}}, \mathrm{b2} \mathbf{- 3}$.

NOESY correlations from the 20 H multiplets at 9.52 ppm and 8.67 ppm to the 24 H singlet at 8.07 ppm confirms the assignment of the latter as 01-3, ó1-3 (denoted in Figure S12 as $\mathbf{0}$ ). A weak NOESY correlation between this singlet and the 12 H singlet at 7.91 enables the assignment of this signal as p1-3 (denoted as $\mathbf{p}$ ) and completes the assignment of $\boldsymbol{c}$-P6 $\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right]$.


Figure S12: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+1 \%$ pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right]$, indicating the NOEs between protons $\mathbf{a l}^{\#}, \mathbf{a} \mathbf{2 - 3}$ and $\mathbf{b 1}^{\#}, \mathbf{b 2 - 3}$ to $\mathbf{o}$, and the weak NOE from o to $\mathbf{p}$.

Table S2: Correlation matrix depicting the COSY and NOE correlations in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right]$ (labels; $\mathbf{s}$ : strong correlation, $\mathbf{w}$ : weak correlation, o: overlapping signals).


### 4.3 Assignment of c-P6[be $]_{5}$ •T6*



## Assignment of Porphyrin 1

As we can assign the 4 H -doublet at 9.36 ppm with confidence to proton a1, this enables us to assign b1 through a COSY correlation as the 4 H -doublet at 8.49 ppm (Figure S13). The other distinct COSY correlation in this region between two 4 H doublets is assigned to $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{1}^{\#}$ and $\mathbf{b 1} \mathbf{1}^{\#}$ (supported by NOE correlations; Figure S14). Unlike the COSY spectrum, the NOESY also shows a weak correlation between the near beta protons b1 and b1 ${ }^{\#}$ (Figure S14).


Figure S13: Region of the COSY spectrum ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6 *$, indicating the COSY correlations between protons a1 and b1, and protons $\mathbf{a l}^{\text {\# }}$ and $\mathbf{b 1}^{\text {\# }}$.


Figure S14: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ *, indicating the NOE cross peaks correlating beta protons a1 and b1, a1 ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ and $\mathbf{b 1} \mathbf{1}^{\#}$, and b1 and b1 $\mathbf{1}^{\#}$.

The signals for the aryl protons ortho to the porphyrin are split due to the different environments inside and outside the nanoring. The NOE cross peaks correlating the beta protons (b1 and b1 ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\#}}$ ) and the downfield shifted ortho proton at 8.02 ppm allow us to identify this 4 H -singlet as $\mathrm{o}^{\prime} 1$. Along the same lines, the NOE cross peaks correlating the beta protons ( $\mathbf{b 1}$ and $\mathbf{b 1} \mathbf{1}^{\#}$ ) and the upfield shifted ortho proton lead us to locate the proton $\mathbf{o 1}$ as part of a 20 H -multiplet between $7.92-7.90 \mathrm{ppm}$. In addition, the NOE cross peak correlating the proton o' 1 and the corresponding para proton $\mathbf{p 1}$ allowed us to identify the latter as a 4 H -singlet at 7.88 ppm (Figure S15).

Figure S16 shows the NOE cross peaks correlating proton o'1 and the template resonances which enables us to identify protons $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{1}$ at 1.94 ppm (strong correlation) and $\boldsymbol{\beta 1}$ at 4.40 ppm (weak correlation). Moreover, the correlation between the template protons, namely $\boldsymbol{\alpha} 1$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta 1}$, is easily observable in both COSY and NOESY spectra (Figure S17).


Figure S15: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ *, indicating the NOE cross peaks correlating the beta ( $\mathbf{b 1}$ and $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{1}^{\#}$ ) and the ortho protons ( $\mathbf{o 1}$ and $\mathbf{o}^{\prime} \mathbf{1}$ ). The proton $\mathbf{o}^{\prime} \mathbf{1}$ also correlates with the $\mathbf{p 1}$.


Figure S16: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $c$ - $\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6^{*}$, indicating the NOE cross peaks correlating proton $\mathbf{o}^{\prime} \mathbf{1}$ and template resonances ( $\alpha \mathbf{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta 1}$ ).


Figure S17: Region of the COSY (left) and NOESY (right) spectra ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}$ [be $\mathbf{b}_{5}$ ]•T6*, indicating the correlations between template protons $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

## Assignment of Porphyrins 2 and 3

The assignment of porphyrins 2 and 3 follows from the assignment of porphyrin 1 . We can assign the $16 \mathrm{H}-$ doublet of doublets at 9.90 ppm with confidence to protons $\mathbf{a 2 - 3}$, which enables us to assign protons b2-3 through a COSY correlation as a 16 H -doublet at 8.61 ppm (Figure S 18 ). Figure S 19 shows the NOE cross peaks correlating the beta protons ( $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{- 3}$ and $\mathbf{b 2} \mathbf{- 3}$ ) and the aryl protons ortho to the porphyrin. Thus, we easily identified the downfield shifted protons $\mathbf{o}^{\prime} \mathbf{2 , 3}$ as the 8 H -singlet at 8.06 ppm and the upfield shifted protons 02,3 along with p2,3 as part of a 20 H -multiplet between $7.92-7.90 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Figure S20 depicts the NOE cross peaks correlating the aryl ortho protons and the template resonances. Specifically, the protons o'2,3 strongly correlates to protons $\boldsymbol{\alpha 2 , 3}$ at 2.00 ppm and $\boldsymbol{\beta 2 , 3}$ at 4.21 ppm , whereas protons $\mathbf{0 2 , 3}$ weakly correlates only to protons $\boldsymbol{\alpha 2}, \mathbf{3}$. As also commented earlier for porphyrin 1, the correlation between the template protons, namely $\boldsymbol{\alpha 2 , 3}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta 2 , 3}$, is easily observable in both COSY and NOESY spectra (Figure S17).


Figure S18: Region of the COSY spectrum ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b e} \mathbf{e}_{5}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$ *, indicating the COSY correlations between protons a2-3 and b2-3.


Figure S19: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$ *, indicating the NOE cross peaks correlating the beta protons (a2-3 and b2-3) and the aryl ortho protons (o'2,3 and 02,3).


Figure S20: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}$-P6[be $\left.{ }_{5}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$ *, indicating the NOE cross peaks correlating the aryl ortho protons ( $\mathbf{o}^{\prime 2,3}$ and $\mathbf{0 2 , 3}$ ) and the template resonances ( $\boldsymbol{\alpha 2 , 3}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta 2 , 3}$ ).

Table S3: Correlation matrix depicting the COSY and NOE correlations in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}$ [be ${ }_{5}$ ]•T6* (labels; s: strong correlation, w: weak correlation, o: overlapping signals).


### 4.4 Assignment of $c$-P6[be ${ }_{5}$ ]



## Assignment of Porphyrin 1

As we can assign the 4 H -doublet at 9.43 ppm with confidence to proton $\mathbf{a 1}$, this enables us to assign b1 through a NOESY correlation as the 4 H -doublet at 8.62 ppm (Figure S21). Unlike the template-based system, here the protons $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{1}^{\#}$ and $\mathbf{b 1} \mathbf{1}^{\#}$ resonate together with the rest of beta protons of the nanoring, namely a2-3 and b2-3, respectively.


Figure S21: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right]$, indicating the NOE cross peak correlating protons a1 and b1.

## Assignment of Porphyrins 2 and 3

We can assign the 20 H -multiplet between $9.94-9.90 \mathrm{ppm}$ with confidence to protons a1 ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ and a2-3, which enables us to assign protons $\mathbf{b 1} \mathbf{1}^{\#}$ and $\mathbf{b 2} \mathbf{- 3}$ through a NOESY correlation as the 20H-multiplet between 8.71 8.69 ppm (Figure S22).

The signals for the aryl protons ortho to the porphyrin are broader than for the template-based system, thus indicating conformational exchange. Figure S22 also depicts the NOE cross peaks correlating the beta protons ( $\mathrm{a} 1, \mathrm{a} 1^{\#}, \mathrm{a} 2-\mathbf{3}, \mathrm{b1}$ and $\mathbf{b 1}^{\#}, \mathrm{b2}-3$ ) and the aryl ortho protons o as a 24 H -broad singlet at 8.02 ppm . Finally, the 12 H -multiplet between $7.91-7.90 \mathrm{ppm}$ is assigned to all the aryl para protons $\mathbf{p}$ of the system.


Figure S22: Region of the NOESY spectrum ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathrm{P} 6[\mathrm{be}$ 5 $]$, indicating the NOE cross peaks correlating the beta protons ( $\mathbf{a} 1, \mathbf{a} 1^{\#}, \mathbf{a} \mathbf{2 - 3}, \mathrm{~b} 1$ and $\mathbf{b 1} \mathbf{1}^{\#}, \mathbf{b 2 - 3}$ ) and the ortho protons $\mathbf{o}$. The protons $\mathbf{a} 1^{\#}, \mathbf{a} \mathbf{2 - 3}$ also correlate to $\mathbf{b 1} \mathbf{1}^{\#}, \mathrm{~b} 2-3$.

Table S4: Correlation matrix depicting the NOE correlations in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}$ [be ${ }_{5}$ ] (labels; $\mathbf{s}$ : strong correlation, w: weak correlation, o: overlapping signals).


## 5 Spectra Confirming Identity of New Compounds



Figure S23: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound Br -P1-CPDMS ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure S24: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound Br -P1-CPDMS ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure S25: (top) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound Br-P1-CPDMS ( $\left[\mathrm{C}_{112} \mathrm{H}_{182} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{Si}_{5} \mathrm{Zn}\right]^{+}$). (bottom) Measured MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound Br-P1-CPDMS (matrix: DCTB).


Figure S26: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound CPDMS-P1-CPDMS ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, *$ denotes coordinated pyridine).


Figure S27: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound CPDMS-P1-CPDMS ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure S28: (top) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound CPDMS-P1-CPDMS ( $\left[\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{194} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Si}_{6} \mathrm{Zn}\right]^{+}$). (bottom) Measured MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound CPDMS-P1-CPDMS (matrix: DCTB).


Figure S29: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{B r}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.
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Figure S30: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{B r}-\mathbf{P 1}-\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S31: (top) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound Br-P1-C $\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{171} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{Zn}\right]^{+}\right.$). (bottom) Measured MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (matrix: DCTB).


Figure S32: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound Br -P1-TMS ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+1 \%$ pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure S33: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound Br -P1-TMS ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+1 \%$ pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure S34: (top) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound Br - P 1 -TMS ( $\left[\mathrm{C}_{109} \mathrm{H}_{179} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{Zn}\right]^{+}$). (bottom) Measured MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound Br-P1-TMS (matrix: DCTB).


Figure S35: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{H C}_{2}$ - P1-CPDMS ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, *$ denotes coordinated pyridine).


Figure S36: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{H C}_{2}$-P1-CPDMS ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure S37: (top) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound $\mathbf{H C}_{2}$-P1-CPDMS ( $\left[\mathrm{C}_{114} \mathrm{H}_{183} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{Si}_{5} \mathrm{Zn}\right]^{+}$). (bottom) Measured MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound $\mathrm{HC}_{2}$-P1-CPDMS (matrix: DCTB).


Figure S38: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound TMS-I-P2[e]-CPDMS ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure S39: ${ }^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of compound TMS-I-P2[e]-CPDMS ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure S40: (top) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound TMS-I-P2[e]-CPDMS ([C $\left.\mathrm{C}_{223} \mathrm{H}_{361} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{Si}_{10} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}\right]^{+}$). (bottom) Measured MALDIToF spectrum of compound TMS-I-P2[e]-CPDMS (matrix: DCTB).


Figure S41: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} \mathbf{2}[\mathbf{e}]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, *\right.$ denotes coordinated pyridine.


Figure S42: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} \mathbf{2}[\mathrm{e}]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S43: (top) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 2[\mathrm{e}]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{214} \mathrm{H}_{342} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{Si}_{8} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}\right]^{+}\right)$. (bottom) Measured MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 2[e]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (matrix: DCTB).


Figure S44: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound CPDMS-I-P4[b $\left.\mathbf{2} \mathbf{e}\right]$-CPDMS ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$, * denotes coordinated pyridine).


Figure S45: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound CPDMS-I-P4[ $\left.\mathrm{b}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{e}\right]$-CPDMS ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure S46: (top) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound CPDMS-I-P4[ $\left.\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{e}\right]$-CPDMS ( $\left[\mathrm{C}_{442} \mathrm{H}_{704} \mathrm{~N}_{18} \mathrm{Si}_{18} \mathrm{Zn}_{4}\right]^{+}$). (bottom) Measured MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound CPDMS-I-P4[ $\left.\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{e}\right]$-CPDMS (matrix: DCTB).



Figure S47: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 4\left[\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{e}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, *\right.$ denotes coordinated pyridine $)$.


Figure S48: (top) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 4\left[\mathbf{b}_{2} \mathbf{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{430} \mathrm{H}_{682} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{Si}_{16} \mathrm{Zn}_{4}\right]^{+}\right)$. (bottom) Measured MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 4\left[\mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathbf{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (matrix: DCTB).



Figure S49: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound CPDMS-I-P6[b/ $\mathbf{b}_{4}$ ]-CPDMS ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$, * denotes coordinated pyridine).


Figure S50: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound CPDMS-I-P6[b/ $\mathbf{b}_{4}$ ]-CPDMS ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ).


Figure S51: (top) Measured MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound CPDMS-I-P6[b $\mathbf{b}_{4}$ e]-CPDMS (matrix: DCTB). (bottom) Simulated MALDIToF spectrum of compound CPDMS-I-P6[b4e $\mathbf{e}]$-CPDMS ( $\left[\mathrm{C}_{658} \mathrm{H}_{1044} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{Si}_{26} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}$).



Figure S52: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathbf{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, * denotes coordinated pyridine $)$.


Figure S53: (top) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{646} \mathrm{H}_{1022} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{Si}_{24} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}\right)$. (bottom) Measured MALDIToF spectrum of compound $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (matrix: DCTB).


Figure S54: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\boldsymbol{c}$-P6[ $\left.\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S55: (top) Measured MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound $c$-P6[b $b_{5}$ e]•T6 (matrix: DCTB). (bottom) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound $c-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{718} \mathrm{H}_{1068} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{Si}_{24} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}\right)$.


Figure S56: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathbf{e}\right]\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+1 \%\right.$ pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$, * denotes residual pyridine signals).


Figure S57: (top) Simulated MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound c-P6[b5 $\mathbf{e}]\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{646} \mathrm{H}_{1020} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{Si}_{24} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}\right.$). (bottom) Measured MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathbf{e}\right]$ (matrix: DCTB).


Figure S58: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound CPDIPS-I-P6[ $\left.\mathbf{e}_{5}\right]$-CPDIPS ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$, * denotes residual pyridine).


Figure S59: High-resolution MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound CPDIPS-I-P6[ $\left.\mathbf{e}_{5}\right]$-CPDIPS (matrix: DCTB). Insert shows the predicted isotope distribution for CPDIPS-I-P6[ $\left.\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]$-CPDIPS ( $\left[\mathrm{C}_{658} \mathrm{H}_{1060} \mathrm{~N}_{26} \mathrm{Si}_{26} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}$).


Figure S60: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, * denotes residual pyridine).


Figure S61: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\boldsymbol{c}$-P6[be $\left.\mathbf{e}_{5}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}{ }^{*}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$.


Figure S62: High-resolution MALDI-ToF spectrum of compound c-P6[bes]•T6* (matrix: DCTB). Insert shows the predicted isotope distribution for $\boldsymbol{c}$-P6[be $]_{5} \cdot \mathbf{T 6 *}\left(\left[\mathrm{C}_{686} \mathrm{H}_{1044} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{Si}_{24} \mathrm{Zn}_{6}\right]^{+}\right)$.


Figure S63: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $c-P 6\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right]\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$. The ortho resonances are in slow exchange on the NMR timescale and appear broadened.

## 6 UV-vis-NIR Titrations

### 6.1 Estimation of Formation Constants

The binding constants of the templates T6 and T6* with $c-P 6\left[b_{5} e\right], c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right], c-P 6\left[b e_{5}\right]$ and $c-P 6\left[e_{6}\right]$ were determined by denaturation titrations (break-up titration) with the competing ligands $N$-methylimidazole or pyridine. In order to determine the strain energy of $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right]$, the binding constant for $\mathbf{H C}_{\mathbf{2}}-\boldsymbol{I}-\mathbf{P} 6\left[\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{e}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}$ was determined using pyridine as a competing ligand.

Using the data from these denaturation titrations ( $K_{\mathrm{dn}}=$ denaturation constant) and the formation constant of the single site binding event of the competing ligand with a zinc-porphyrin monomer ( $K_{\text {ref }}=$ association constant for $N$-methyl imidazole or pyridine to THS-monomer), allows us to derive the formation binding constant $\left(K_{f}\right)$ between the porphyrin nanorings and the templates using the following equation:

$$
K_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{K_{\mathrm{ref}}^{6}}{K_{\mathrm{dn}}}
$$

via the thermodynamic cycle shown in Figure S64.


Figure S64: (left) Generalized thermodynamic cycle of $\boldsymbol{c}$-P6 $\left[\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}\left({ }^{*}\right)$ relating the formation constant of the template complex ( $K_{f}$ ) to the denaturation constant $\left(K_{\mathrm{dn}}\right)$ and the binding constant of each porphyrin unit for the competing ligand L ( $K_{\mathrm{qu}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{Me}}$, respectively). (right). Structure of the THS-monomer, used to determine $K_{\text {ref; }}$ THS = trihexylsilyl.

Table S5: Summary of UV-vis-NIR reference titrations with THS-monomer.

| complex | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\text {ref }}\left(\mathbf{M}^{-1}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\text {chem ref }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{I}$-P1•pyridine | $(1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{4}$ | 2 | $(6.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{I}$-P1 $\cdot$ methylimidazole | $(4.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{5}$ | 2 | $(2.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{5}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{I}$-P1-4-phenylpyridine | $(3.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{4}$ | 2 | $(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{4}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{I}$-P1•(4-phenylethynyl)pyridine | $(6.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{3}$ | 2 | $(3.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{3}$ |



Figure S65: UV-vis titration of pyridine and THS-monomer (run 1, toluene, 298 K , [THS-monomer] $=6.7 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\text {ref }}=1.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ ).


Figure S66: UV-vis titration of pyridine and THS-monomer (run 2, toluene, 298 K , [THS-monomer] $=6.7 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\text {ref }}=1.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ ).



Figure S67: UV-vis titration of 4-phenylpyridine and THS-monomer (run 1, toluene, 298 K , [THS-monomer] $=6.2 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\text {ref }}=3.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ).


Figure S68: UV-vis titration of 4-phenylpyridine and THS-monomer (run 2, toluene, 298 K , [THS-monomer] $=4.5 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\text {ref }}=3.6 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ).


Figure S69: UV-vis titration of $N$-methylimidazole and THS-monomer (run 1, toluene, 298 K , [THS-monomer] $=4.2 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\text {ref }}=4.2 \times 10^{5}$ $\mathrm{M}^{-1}$ ).


Figure S70: UV-vis titration of $N$-methylimidazole and THS-monomer (run 2, toluene, $298 \mathrm{~K},\left[\right.$ THS-monomer] $=4.2 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\text {ref }}=4.1 \times 10^{5}$ $\mathrm{M}^{-1}$ ).

Table S6: Summary of denaturation constants and formation constants (measured in toluene at 298 K ).

| complex | denaturant | $K_{\text {dn }}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-5}\right)$ | $K_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ | $\log K_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6 *$ | pyridine | $(7.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{8}$ | $(6.5 \pm 3.9) \times 10^{15}$ | $15.8 \pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ | pyridine | $(5.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{4}$ | $(8.2 \pm 5.0) \times 10^{19}$ | $19.9 \pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ | pyridine | $(7.0 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{3}$ | $(6.9 \pm 5.1) \times 10^{20}$ | $20.8 \pm 0.3$ |
| c-P6[ $\left.\mathrm{e}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ * | pyridine | $(5.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$ | $(9.5 \pm 5.7) \times 10^{28}$ | $29.0 \pm 0.3$ |
| c-P6[be $\left.{ }_{5}\right] \cdot T 6 *$ | $N$-methylimidazole | $(1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ | $(2.9 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{38}$ | $38.5 \pm 0.3$ |
| $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ | $N$-methylimidazole | $(1.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$ | $(3.9 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{35}$ | $35.6 \pm 0.3$ |
| $c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ | $N$-methylimidazole | $(5.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$ | $(1.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{37}$ | $37.0 \pm 0.3$ |



Figure S71: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$ illustrating the removal of the $\mathbf{T 6}$ template (run 1 , toluene, 298 K , $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6\right]=1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=5.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-5}$ ).


Figure S72: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathbf{b}_{4} \mathbf{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$ illustrating the removal of the $\mathbf{T 6}$ template (run 2 , toluene, 298 K , $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6\right]=1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=6.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-5}$ ).


Figure S73: UV-vis-NIR titration of $N$-methylimidazole and $c$-P6 $\left[\mathbf{b}_{6}\right] \cdot T 6$ illustrating the removal of the $\mathbf{T 6}$ template (run 1, toluene, 298 K , $\left.\left[c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right] \cdot T 6\right]=1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=4.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}^{-5}\right)$.


Figure S74: UV-vis-NIR titration of $N$-methylimidazole and $c$-P6 $\left[b_{6}\right] \cdot T 6$ illustrating the removal of the $\mathbf{T 6}$ template (run 2, toluene, 298 K , $\left.\left[c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right] \cdot T 6\right]=1.3 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=6.1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}^{-5}\right)$.


Figure S75: UV-vis-NIR titration of $N$-methylimidazole and $c$-P6[b $\left.\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot$ T6 illustrating the removal of the T6 template (run 1, toluene, 298 $K,\left[c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6\right]=0.68 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=1.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}^{-5}$ ).


Figure S76: UV-vis-NIR titration of $N$-methylimidazole and $c$-P6[ $\left.\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot$ T6 illustrating the removal of the T6 template (run 2, toluene, 298 $K,\left[c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6\right]=0.68 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=1.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}^{-5}$ ).


Figure S77: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ illustrating the removal of the $\mathbf{T 6}$ template (run 1, toluene, 298 K , $\left.\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6\right]=2.9 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=8.4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-5}\right)$.


Figure S78: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ illustrating the removal of the $\mathbf{T 6}$ template (run 2, toluene, 298 K , $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6\right]=2.9 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=5.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-5}$ ).


Figure S79: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-P 6\left[e_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$ * illustrating the removal of the T6* template (run 1, toluene, 298 K , $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6^{*}\right]=1.7 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=7.0 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-5}$ ).



Figure S80: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and $\mathbf{H C}_{2}-I-P 6\left[e_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{T} 6^{*}$ illustrating the removal of the $\mathbf{T 6}$ * template (run 2, toluene, 298 K , $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2}-I-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6^{*}\right]=1.7 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=7.8 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-5}$ ).


Figure S81: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and $c$-P6[ $\left.\mathbf{e}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}^{*}$ illustrating the removal of the T6* template (Run 1 , toluene, 298 K , [cP6 $\left.\left.\left[\mathrm{e}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6^{*}\right]=0.42 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=4.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-5}\right)$.


Figure S82: UV-vis-NIR titration of pyridine and $\boldsymbol{c}$-P6[ $\left.\mathrm{e}_{6}\right]$ •T6* illustrating the removal of the T6* template (run 2, toluene, 298 K , [cP6 $\left.\left[\mathrm{e}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6^{*}\right]=0.42 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=5.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-5}$ ).


Figure S83: UV-vis-NIR titration of $N$-methylimidazole and $c$-P6[ $\left.\mathbf{e}_{6}\right] \cdot T 6 *$ illustrating the removal of the T6* template (run 1, toluene, 298 $K,\left[c-P 6\left[e_{6}\right] \cdot T 6^{*}\right]=0.38 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=1.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-5}$ ).


Figure S84: UV-vis-NIR titration of $N$-methylimidazole and $c$-P6[be $\left.{ }_{5}\right] \cdot T 6 *$ illustrating the removal of the T6* template (run 1, toluene, $298 \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6^{*}\right]=1.0 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=1.9 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-5}$ ).


Figure S85: UV-vis-NIR titration of $N$-methylimidazole and $c$-P6[be $\left.{ }_{5}\right] \cdot$ T6* illustrating the removal of the T6* template (run 2, toluene, $\left.298 \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{be}_{5}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6^{*}\right]=0.97 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dn}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-5}\right)$.

### 6.2 Estimation of Statistically-Corrected Effective Molarities

Average effective molarities were calculated using the equation:

$$
\overline{E M}=\sqrt[5]{\frac{K_{\text {chem }}}{K_{1}^{6}}}
$$

where $K_{\text {chem }}$ is the statistically corrected formation constant of the nanoring-template complex ( $K_{\text {chem }}=K_{\mathrm{f}} / K_{\sigma}$ ) and $K_{1}$ is the statistically corrected binding constant for a reference ligand (4-phenylpyridine for T6; 4phenylethynyl pyridine for T6*) from Table S5. Statistical factors ( $K_{\sigma}$ ) were calculated using Benson's symmetry number method (and it works out that in every case the value is 768). ${ }^{[7-9]}$

Table S7: Summary of formation constants and effective molarities.

| complex | $\log K_{f}\left(M^{-1}\right)$ | $\log K_{\text {chem }}\left(\mathbf{M}^{-1}\right)$ | $\overline{\mathrm{EM}}$ (M) | $\log \overline{E M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T6}{ }^{*}$ | $15.8 \pm 0.3$ | $12.9 \pm 0.3$ | $0.024 \pm 0.006$ | $-1.6 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ | $19.9 \pm 0.3$ | $17.0 \pm 0.3$ | $0.020 \pm 0.005$ | $-1.7 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ | $20.9 \pm 0.3$ | $18.0 \pm 0.3$ | $0.032 \pm 0.007$ | $-1.5 \pm 0.1$ |
| c-P6[ $\left.\mathrm{e}_{6}\right]$-T6* | $29.0 \pm 0.3$ | $26.1 \pm 0.3$ | $10 \pm 2$ | $1.0 \pm 0.1$ |
| c-P6[be $\left.{ }_{5}\right]$-T6* | $38.5 \pm 0.3$ | $35.6 \pm 0.3$ | $830 \pm 190$ | $2.9 \pm 0.1$ |
| $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ | $35.6 \pm 0.4$ | $32.7 \pm 0.4$ | $28 \pm 6$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| $c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right]$-T6 | $37.0 \pm 0.4$ | $34.1 \pm 0.4$ | $52 \pm 6$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ |

### 6.3 Calculations of experimental strain energies

$\Delta G_{f}$ for each nanoring-template complex is calculated from the formation constant $K_{\mathrm{f}}$ using:

$$
\Delta G_{f}=-R T \ln \left(K_{f}\right)
$$

where $K_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the formation constant of the complex from Table $\mathrm{S} 7, R$ is the gas constant ( $8.314 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) and $T$ is temperature ( 298 K ).

The strain energy of the cyclic complexes can be expressed as the difference in binding energy between corresponding cyclic and linear oligomers, assuming that the major difference in binding strength is related to the strain energy.

$$
\Delta G_{\text {strain }}=\Delta G_{f, \text { cyclic }}-\Delta G_{f, \text { linear }}
$$

Table S8: Summary of formation constants, binding energies and estimated strain energies

| complex | $\log K_{f}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Delta G_{f}\left(\mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ | related linear system | $\Delta G_{\text {strain }}\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-$--P6 $\left.{ }^{\text {e }}{ }_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T6*}$ | $15.8 \pm 0.3$ | $90 \pm 2$ | - | - |
| $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ | $19.9 \pm 0.3$ | $113 \pm 2$ | - | - |
| $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ | $20.8 \pm 0.3$ | $119 \pm 2$ | - | - |
| c-P6[ $\left.\mathrm{e}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ * | $29.0 \pm 0.3$ | $166 \pm 2$ | $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6 *$ | $76 \pm 3^{\text {a }}$ |
| c-P6[be $\left.{ }_{5}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ * | $38.5 \pm 0.3$ | $220 \pm 2$ | $\mathrm{HC}_{2}$-I-P6[ $\left.\mathrm{e}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6^{*}$ | $130 \pm 3$ |
| c-P6[ $\left.\mathrm{b}_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ | $35.6 \pm 0.3$ | $203 \pm 2$ | $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{e}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ | $90 \pm 3$ |
| c-P6[ $\left.\mathrm{b}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ | $37.0 \pm 0.3$ | $211 \pm 2$ | $\mathrm{HC}_{2}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ | $92 \pm 3$ |

[^0]
## 7 NMR Binding Competition Experiments

A competition NMR experiment was designed to compare the affinities of $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{6}\right]$ and $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right]$ for the
 overlap, several peaks are unique for $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ and $c-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ (Figure S87), particularly the $\beta$ resonances from bound T6. Solutions of equal quantities of $c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right]$ and $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot T 6$ ( $1: 1$ mole ratio; approximately 1 mg of each; $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were mixed in an NMR tube (Figure S87, top). The exchange of the template T6 proceeds very slowly without presence of a competing ligand to catalyze the de-coordination of T6, therefore, $N$-methylimidazole ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 500 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) as a stronger competing ligand was added. After 1 hour, equilibrium was achieved; the solution was evaporated, and $N$-methyl imidazole was removed under vacuum. The solid residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R$ integration showed that the mole ratio of $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathrm{P} 6\left[\mathrm{~b}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6$ and $c$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ was 1.25 , indicating a marginally higher affinity of $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{6}\right]$ towards $\mathrm{T6}$ (Figure S88, bottom). This experiment was also conducted in the complementary order, starting with an equimolar mixture of $c$ $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right]$ and $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$, yielding a similar result (mole ratio $=1.21$, Figure S89). This confirms that the mixture is at equilibrium under these experimental conditions.


Figure S86: Competitive binding experiment investigating the relative affinity of $c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right]$ and $c-P 6\left[b_{5} e\right]$ towards T6.


Figure S87: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of pure compounds (from top to bottom): $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}, \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{6}\right], \boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{e}\right]$. Resonances a1 and b1 stand out the porphyrin regions providing a measure for the $\mathbf{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{e}\right]$-system. T6 $\beta$ resonances in $\mathbf{c}$ -



Figure S88: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of an initially equimolar mixture $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{6}\right]$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ - $\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$ (top) and after template redistribution catalyzed by N -methylimidazole (bottom).


Figure S89: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of initially equimolar mixture $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathbf{T 6}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}\left[\mathbf{b}_{5} \mathbf{e}\right]$ (top) and after template redistribution catalyzed by N -methylimidazole (bottom).

## 8 Photophysical Measurements

Fluorescence quantum yields $\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}$ were measured using linear butadiyne-linked porphyrin hexamer as a reference. ${ }^{[10]}$ Its reported $\Phi_{f}$ of $28 \%$ (toluene, $1 \%$ pyridine) was further verified using an integrating sphere. The following formula was used for the calculation of the relative $\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}$ :

$$
\Phi_{f}(\mathrm{~S})=\Phi_{r}(\mathrm{R}) \cdot \frac{1-10^{-A_{R}}}{1-10^{-A_{S}}} \cdot \frac{n_{S}^{2}}{n_{R}^{2}} \cdot \frac{\int I_{S}(v) d v}{\int I_{R}(v) d v}
$$

where $A$ is the optical density at the excitation wavelength, $n$ the refractive index of the solvent, $\int I_{S}(v) d v$ the integrated spectral fluorescence photon flux which was approximated by the integrated blank and dark-count corrected signals of the emission (in wave-numbers).

The low quantum yield and red-shifted emission of most of the reported compounds prevented us from measuring absolute quantum yields using an integrating sphere. Several accumulated spectra were necessary to obtain emission profiles with acceptable signal-to-noise. All fluorescence samples were prepared with optical densities < 0.1 under ambient conditions. The potential degradation of the samples was assessed by their UV-vis-NIR absorption spectra showing in all cases no observable decomposition even after >300 cycles (5 hours), indicating remarkable stability. Excitation spectra of all compounds were acquired at several different emission wavelengths.

 $c-P 6\left[e_{6}\right]$ in toluene/1\% pyridine and (right) $c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right] \cdot T 6, c-P 6\left[b_{5} e\right] \cdot T 6, c-P 6\left[b e_{5}\right] \cdot T 6 *, c-P 6\left[e_{6}\right] \cdot T 6 *$ in toluene. Fluorescence quantum yields are given in \%. The indentation at 1140 nm of the emission spectra is associated with solvent.

## Fluorescence Lifetimes

All samples were excited at 810 nm with a power of 20 mW . Fluorescence emission was detected at 1050 nm . The fluorescence lifetimes $\tau$ were extracted by fitting a mono-exponential decay model to the experimentally observed fluorescence intensity: $I_{F}(t)=A e^{-t / \tau}$. The experimental fluorescence data with fits for each sample are shown in Figure S 91 and the resulting lifetimes are given in Table S9. From the fluorescence lifetimes $\tau$ and the fluorescence quantum yields $\phi_{f}$, the total, radiative and non-radiative decay rates ( $k_{t o t}, k_{r a d}$ and $k_{n o n r a d}$ ) are calculated for each sample using: $k_{t o t}=1 / \tau$ and $k_{t o t}=k_{r a d}+k_{n o n r a d}$, with $k_{r a d}=\phi_{f} * k_{t o t}$. The rates are given in Table S9. The fluorescence of sample $\boldsymbol{c}-\mathrm{P6}\left[\mathbf{e}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T} 6^{*}$ was too weak ( $\phi_{f} \approx 0.01 \%$ ) to allow the recording of a reliable fluorescence decay.

Table S9. Fluorescence lifetimes $\tau$ (detected at 1050 nm , sample excited at 810 nm ), total decay rate $k_{\text {tot }}$, radiative decay rate $k_{\text {rad }}$, nonradiative decay rate $k_{\text {nonrad, }}$ and fluorescence quantum yields.

| sample | $\begin{gathered} \tau \\ \text { (ns) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{t o t} \\ (1 / \mathrm{ns}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{\text {rad }} \\ (1 / \mathrm{ns}) \end{gathered}$ | $k_{\text {nonrad }}$ <br> (1/ns) | $\begin{aligned} & \phi_{f} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right]$ | 0.51 | 1.96 | 0.035 | 1.93 | 1.8 |
| c-P6[ $\left.\mathrm{b}_{6}\right]$-T6 | 0.34 | 2.91 | 0.011 | 2.89 | 0.38 |
| $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathrm{e}\right]$ | 0.44 | 2.27 | 0.023 | 2.24 | 1.00 |
| c-P6[ $\left.\mathrm{b}_{5} \mathrm{e}\right] \cdot \mathrm{T6}$ | 0.32 | 3.17 | 0.012 | 3.16 | 0.39 |
| $c$-P6[be ${ }_{5}$ ] | 0.28 | 3.61 | 0.0094 | 3.60 | 0.26 |
| c-P6[be ${ }_{5}$ ].T6* | 0.22 | 4.51 | 0.0063 | 4.50 | 0.14 |
| $c-P 6\left[\mathrm{e}_{6}\right]$ | 0.49 | 2.02 | 0.0026 | 2.02 | 0.13 |



Fig. S91: Experimentally determined fluorescence lifetime decay (blue) and fits of a mono-exponential decay model to the data (red) for $c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right], c-P 6\left[b_{5} e\right], c-P 6\left[b e_{5}\right], c-P 6\left[e_{6}\right]$ (left) and $c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right] \cdot T 6, c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathbf{e}\right] \cdot T 6, c-P 6\left[b e_{5}\right] \cdot T 6 *$ (right). The fluorescence decay was measured at 1050 nm .

## 9 Computational Chemistry

### 9.1 Geometry Optimization

All DFT calculations were carried out using Gaussian 16/A.03. ${ }^{[11]}$ In all computational models, the aryl sidegroups are truncated to -H . All geometries were optimized at the B3LYP ${ }^{12}$ level of theory using the 6-31G* basis set. ${ }^{[12-15]}$ Frequency calculations were performed for all structures confirming that geometries represent minima. The calculated Cartesian coordinates can be found in the provided .xyz files.


Fig. S92: Geometries from DFT calculations.


Fig. $\mathbf{S 9 2}$ (continued): Geometries from DFT calculations.

### 9.2 Calculation of Strain Energy

The theoretical predicted strain of the DFT calculations ( $\Delta H_{\text {strain }}$ ) was estimated by homodesmotic reactions at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory. Subtraction of the relative energies gave the corresponding strain energy according to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}[\mathbf{e 6}]}+E_{l-\mathbf{P 2}[\mathbf{e} 1]}-E_{l-\mathbf{P 8}[\mathbf{e} 7]}=E_{\text {strain }(c-\mathbf{P 6}[\mathbf{e 6}])}  \tag{1}\\
& E_{\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{P 6}[\mathbf{b} 6]}+E_{l-\mathbf{P} 2[\mathbf{b} 1]}-E_{l-\mathbf{P 8}[\mathbf{b} 7]}=E_{\operatorname{strain}(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{P 6}[\mathbf{b 6}])}  \tag{2}\\
& E_{\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}[\mathbf{b 5 e}]}+E_{\boldsymbol{l}-\mathbf{P 2}[\mathbf{e} 1]}-E_{l-\mathbf{P 8}[\mathbf{e 2 b} 5]]}=E_{\operatorname{strain}(\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P} 6[\mathbf{b 5 e}])}  \tag{3}\\
& E_{\boldsymbol{c}-\mathbf{P 6}[\mathbf{b e 5}]}+E_{\boldsymbol{l}-\mathbf{P} 2[\mathbf{b 1}]}-E_{\boldsymbol{l}-\mathbf{P 8}[\mathbf{e 5 b} 2]}=E_{\operatorname{strain}(c-\mathbf{P 6}[\mathbf{b e 5}])} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Table S10. Electronic and strain energies for the cyclic and linear oligo porphyrin compounds (B3LYP/6-31G*).

|  | Energy / Hartrees | Strain energy / $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c-P6[ ${ }_{6}$ ] | -17055.23727 | 131.2 |
| $c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right]$ | -17512.21118 | 99.8 |
| $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathrm{e}\right]$ | -17436.04888 | 105.2 |
| c-P6[be ${ }_{5}$ ] | -17131.39974 | 114.7 |
| $I-P 2\left[e_{1}\right]$ | -5762.42020 | - |
| I-P2[ $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ ] | -5838.58050 | - |
| $l-P 8\left[\mathrm{e}_{7}\right]$ | -22817.70792 | - |
| $l-\mathrm{P} 8\left[\mathrm{e}_{5} \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right]$ | -22970.02436 | - |
| $1-\mathrm{P} 8\left[\mathrm{~b}_{7}\right]$ | -23350.83010 | - |
| $l-\mathrm{P} 8\left[\mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{5}\right.$ ] | -23198.50955 | - |

Table S11. Electronic and binding energies for the cyclic oligo porphyrin compounds (B3LYP/6-31G*).

|  | Energy / Hartrees | Binding energy / $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T6 | -3101.117883 | - |
| T6* | -2171.730162 | - |
| c-P6 $\left[\mathrm{e}_{6}\right]$-T6* | -19227.07341 | -144.4 |
| $c-P 6\left[b_{6}\right] \cdot T 6$ | -20613.47323 | -275.0 |
| $c-P 6\left[b_{5} \mathrm{e}\right]$-T6 | -20537.30451 | -252.9 |
| c-P6[be ${ }_{5}$ ].T6* | -19303.25692 | -215.5 |



I-P2[ $\mathrm{e}_{1}$ ]




Fig. S93: Homodesmotic reactions used for strain calculations.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ substantial distortion of the template

